## Preparation of Er<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanorod Catalyst without Using Organic Additive and Its Application to Catalytic Dehydration of 1,4-Butanediol

Fumiya Sato, Yasuhiro Yamada, and Satoshi Sato\*

Graduate School of Engineering, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi, Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522

(Received March 5, 2012; CL-120188; E-mail: satoshi@faculty.chiba-u.jp)

 $\rm Er_2O_3$  nanorods were successfully prepared with hydrothermal treatment without using organic additives such as surfactant, fatty acid, or alcohol.  $\rm Er_2O_3$  nanorods were obtained under high temperature and/or long reaction times.  $\rm Er_2O_3$ nanorods mainly exposed {440} and {400} facets on the surface.  $\rm Er_2O_3$  nanorods showed excellent catalytic activity compared to commercial  $\rm Er_2O_3$  nanoparticles in the dehydration of 1,4butanediol to produce 3-buten-1-ol.

It is well known that rare earth oxides (REOs) with cubic phase, such as CeO<sub>2</sub> and Er<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, consist of ordinary spherical or octahedral nanocrystals, which are usually called nanoparticles. Several groups have recently prepared unusually shaped CeO<sub>2</sub> nanocrystals such as nanorods,<sup>1–3</sup> nanocubes,<sup>2,4</sup> nanoplates,<sup>3</sup> triangler microplates,<sup>5</sup> nanotubes,<sup>6</sup> and nanotadpoles<sup>7</sup> by hydrothermal (HT) treatment or solvothermal methods. These nanocrystals expose specific crystal facets on the surface. For example, CeO<sub>2</sub> nanorod mainly exposes both {220} and {200} facets on the surface and  $CeO_2$  nanocube exposes only {200} facet, whereas  $CeO_2$  nanoparticles mainly expose {111} facet.<sup>1,2,4</sup> In the oxidation of carbon monoxide, Au deposited on CeO2 nanorod is more active than Au on CeO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticle.<sup>8</sup> Nguyen et al. prepared Er<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanorods and nanocrystals with several shapes via solvothermal reaction in water, ethanol, and decanoic acid.<sup>9</sup> Other REO nanorods are also prepared with organic additives.<sup>10</sup> There is only one report on CeO<sub>2</sub> nanorods prepared without organic substance but with sodium hydroxide.<sup>2</sup> Unfortunately, organic additives and residual metal cations often work as catalytic poison. It is desired that oxide catalysts are prepared without organic additives and metal-containing bases.

In our previous work,<sup>11</sup> 1,4-butanediol was dehydrated to 3-buten-1-ol with selectivity higher than 90% over commercial  $Er_2O_3$  nanoparticles, and it was speculated that active sites of this reaction exist on {222} facet. Much more data, however, are required to discuss active crystal facets on the catalysis. The purpose of this work is to prepare  $Er_2O_3$  nanorods without organic additives and to investigate catalytic activity of  $Er_2O_3$  nanorods in order to ascertain whether the active sites exist on {440} and {400} facets.

Commercial  $Er_2O_3$  nanoparticles, supplied by Kanto Chemical Co., Ltd., Japan, were calcined at 500, 650, 800, and 1000 °C, which have specific surface areas of 33.5, 26.8, 21.5, and 13.6 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.  $Er_2O_3$  nanoparticle or nanorod catalyst was prepared with a HT method.  $Er(NO_3)_3 \cdot 5H_2O$  (4.64 g, as 2.0 g of  $Er_2O_3$ , Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was dissolved in 50 mL of distilled water. Then, the pH of the solution was adjusted to 10 with 25 wt% aqueous ammonia (Wako, Japan) with stirring. No additives such as amine, fatty acid, or alcohol were added. The solution was transferred into a 100-cm<sup>3</sup> Teflon-lined autoclave. Hydrothermal treatment was carried out at a prescribed temperature for a prescribed period. The resulting precipitate was filtered, washed, dried at 110 °C for 12 h, and calcined at 400 °C for 3 h. Here, commercial  $Er_2O_3$  is named as "CM-(calcination temperature (°C))," and the prepared  $Er_2O_3$  is named as "HT-(HT temperature (°C))-(HT time (h))."

Specific surface area (SA) of the sample was calculated by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method using the N<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherm at -196 °C. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were taken on a JEOL JSM-6510 microscope operated at 20–30 kV and on a Hitachi HF-2200 microscope operated at 200 kV, respectively.

Vapor-phase catalytic dehydration of 1,4-butanediol to produce 3-buten-1-ol was performed at 350 °C in a fixed-bed down-flow reactor under atmospheric pressure of N<sub>2</sub> at a flow rate of 30 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>. After 0.3 g of catalyst was preheated at 400 °C for 1 h, 1,4-butanediol was fed into the reactor at a liquid flow rate of  $1.8 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$ . A reaction mixture recovered every hour was analyzed by gas chromatography (GC-2014, Shimadzu, Japan) with a 30-m capillary column (Rtx-Wax, RESTEK, USA).

SEM images in Figure 1 show changes in shape of  $Er_2O_3$  prepared by hydrothermal synthesis at 150 °C as HT time increases. In the images, nanoparticles were first formed (Figure 1a) and then they were converted to nanorods. The average length and width of  $Er_2O_3$  nanorods were increased from  $0.8 \times 0.2$  to  $1.6\,\mu m \times 0.3\,\mu m$  with increasing HT time from 24 to 48 h. The same behavior was observed at HT temperature of 200 °C. It was found neither organic additives nor organic solvents were essential to prepare  $Er_2O_3$  nanorods.

Figure 2 shows TEM image of HT-200-24. The  $Er_2O_3$  nanorod exposed {440} and {400} facets on the surface parallel to the longitudinal edge. However, it was not clear that {222} facet was exposed. The orientation of crystal facets on the surface is in good harmony with CeO<sub>2</sub> nanorods reported previously.<sup>1,2</sup> Several SEM and TEM images of  $Er_2O_3$  prepared under HT conditions are displayed in Figure S1.<sup>12</sup>

Figure 3 summarizes morphology of HT-prepared  $Er_2O_3$ nanomaterials, together with the SA values. At HT temperature of 100 °C,  $Er_2O_3$  nanoparticles were first formed after 6 h (Figure S1<sup>12</sup>). The nanoparticles were converted to nanorods after 24 h, and surface area was decreased from 18.2 to 8.5 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> with increasing HT time from 24 to 48 h. However, the morphology



Figure 1. SEM images of  $Er_2O_3$  prepared under HT conditions. (a) HT-150-1; (b) HT-150-24; (c) HT-150-48.



Figure 2. High-resolution TEM image of HT-200-24.



**Figure 3.** Physical properties of HT  $\text{Er}_2O_3$  nanomaterials. The number in parenthesis indicates specific surface area (m<sup>2</sup>g<sup>-1</sup>).

**Table 1.** Dehydration of 1,4-butanediol over Er<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts<sup>a</sup>

Code	Conv.	Selectivity/mol %		
	/%	3-Buten-1-ol	THF <sup>b</sup>	$GBL^b$
CM-800	49.3	75.3	6.7	1.9
CM-1000	48.2	83.9	5.9	1.0
HT-100-24	71.0	81.3	6.6	0.8
HT-150-24	51.5	81.8	3.9	2.0
HT-200-24	55.5	77.9	3.0	1.7

<sup>a</sup>Conversion and selectivity were averaged in the initial 5 h. <sup>b</sup>THF: tetrahydrofuran, GBL: γ-butyrolactone.

transformation at high temperatures is faster than that at 100 °C. According to X-ray diffraction profiles of  $Er_2O_3$  nanomaterials (Figure S2<sup>12</sup>), all the HT-prepared  $Er_2O_3$  nanomaterials as well as commercial  $Er_2O_3$  samples had cubic bixbyite phase. There is no clear difference in the peak intensity among the  $Er_2O_3$  samples.

Table 1 compares conversion and selectivity between HTprepared and commercial  $Er_2O_3$  samples. The HT-prepared  $Er_2O_3$ showed high conversion of 1,4-butanediol with high selectivity to 3-buten-1-ol. In the dehydration of 1,4-butanediol over commercial  $Er_2O_3$ , the conversion was maximized at 54.8% at calcination temperature of 650 °C (Table S1<sup>12</sup>). In contrast, the selectivity to 3-buten-1-ol was increased from 58.9 to 83.9% with increasing calcination temperature from 500 to 1000 °C. The results show a similar tendency to a previous report.<sup>11</sup> In the dehydration over the HT-prepared  $Er_2O_3$ , the 1,4-butanediol conversion was in the range between 42.2 and 71.0% (Table S2<sup>12</sup>). The conversion values are quite high irrespective of the small specific surface area. The selectivity to 3-buten-1-ol was higher than 74.5%, and it is as high as the selectivity of CM-800 and CM-1000.



**Figure 4.** Dehydration of 1,4-butanediol over  $Er_2O_3$  catalysts: (filled circle) HT synthesis and (open triangle) commercial. Reaction temperature, 350 °C; reaction time, 5 h.

Since specific surface area of each catalyst is different, Figure 4 compares formation rate of 3-buten-1-ol per unit surface area as an intrinsic catalytic activity. The intrinsic catalytic activity of commercial  $Er_2O_3$  was increased from 0.52 to 1.93 mmol h<sup>-1</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> with increasing calcination temperature. The intrinsic catalytic activity of HT-prepared  $Er_2O_3$  nanorods except HT-100-6 was higher than that of CM-1000, while the exposed surface of  $Er_2O_3$  nanorod was different from those of  $Er_2O_3$ nanoparticles. This illustrates active sites for the dehydration of alkanediol probably exist not only on {222} facet but also on {440} and/or on {400} facets. In contrast, HT-100-6 is less active, which is as low as CM-500 and CM-650. As shown in SEM and TEM images of HT-100-6 nanoparticle,<sup>12</sup> this catalyst does not grow each crystal plane on the surface.

In conclusion,  $Er_2O_3$  nanorods were prepared without using organic additives, and exposed {440} and {400} facets on the surface.  $Er_2O_3$  nanorods show high catalytic activity in the dehydration of 1,4-butanediol to produce 3-buten-1-ol. The nanorod structure of  $Er_2O_3$  is maintained during the catalytic dehydration (Figure S3<sup>12</sup>).

## **References and Notes**

- 1 K. Zhou, X. Wang, X. Sun, Q. Peng, Y. Li, J. Catal. 2005, 229, 206.
- 2 H.-X. Mai, L.-D. Sun, Y.-W. Zhang, R. Si, W. Feng, H.-P. Zhang, H.-C. Liu, C.-H. Yan, J. Phys. Chem. B 2005, 109, 24380.
- 3 C. Pan, D. Zhang, L. Shi, J. Solid State Chem. 2008, 181, 1298.
- 4 S. Yang, L. Gao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9330; J. Lv, Y. Shen, L. Peng, X. Guo, W. Ding, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 5909.
- 5 Z. Guo, F. Du, G. Li, Z. Cui, *Inorg. Chem.* 2006, 45, 4167.
- 6 K. Zhou, Z. Yang, S. Yang, *Chem. Mater.* 2007, 19, 1215.
- 7 T. Yu, J. Joo, Y. I. Park, T. Hyeon, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2005, 44, 7411.
- 8 X.-S. Huang, H. Sun, L.-C. Wang, Y.-M. Liu, K.-N. Fan, Y. Cao, *Appl. Catal.*, B 2009, 90, 224.
- 9 T.-D. Nguyen, C.-T. Dinh, T.-O. Do, ACS Nano 2010, 4, 2263.
- 10 N. Zhang, R. Yi, L. Zhou, G. Gao, R. Shi, G. Qiu, X. Liu, *Mater: Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *114*, 160; Q. Mu, Y. Wang, *J. Alloys Compd.* **2011**, *509*, 396.
- 11 S. Sato, R. Takahashi, M. Kobune, H. Inoue, Y. Izawa, H. Ohno, K. Takahashi, *Appl. Catal.*, A 2009, 356, 64.
- 12 Supporting Information is available electronically on the CSJ-Journal Web site, http://www.csj.jp/journals/chem-lett/index.html.